GUEST EDITORIAL

Ending nuclear weapons, before they end us*

Kamran Abbasi^a, Parveen Ali^b, Virginia Barbour^c, Marion Birch^d, Inga Blum^c, Peter Doherty^f, Andy Haines^g, Ira Helfand^h, Richard Hortonⁱ, Kati Juva^j, Jose Florencio Lapeña Jr.^k, Robert Mash¹, Olga Mironova^m, Arun Mitraⁿ, Carlos Monteiro^o, Elena N. Naumova^p, David Onazi^q, Tilman Ruff^f, Peush Sahni^s, James Tumwine^f, Carlos Umana Silesky^u, Paul Yonga^v, Chris Zielinski^x

²Editor-in-Chief, British Medical Journal - London, UK. ^bInternational Nursing Review - Sheffield, UK. ^cMedical Journal of Australia - Brisbane, Australia. ^dUniversity College London - London, UK. ^eInternational Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War - Jesteburg, Hamburg, Germany. ^fUniversity of Melbourne at the Doherty Institute -Melbourne, Australia. gLondon School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine -London, UK. hInternational Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War - Springfield, USA. The Lancet - London, UK. Helsinki University Central Hospital, Division of Psychiatry - Helsinki, Finland. kPhilippine General Hospital -Manila, Philippines. Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Stellenbosch University - Stellenbosch, South Africa. ^mSechenov University - Moscow, Russia. ⁿIndian Doctors for Peace and Development -Ludhiana, India. °Escola de Saúde Pública, Universidade de São Paulo – São Paulo (SP), Brazil. PTufts University - Boston, USA. International Councilor, Society of Nigerian Doctors for the Welfare of Mankind -Abuja, Nigeria. International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, University of Melbourne - Melbourne, Australia. ^sIndia Institute of Medical Sciences - New Delhi, India. ^tKabale University - Kampala, Uganda. "Epidemiological Surveillance Officer, Costa Rican Ministry of Health - Costa Rica, Costa Rica. vEast African Medical Journal - Nairobi, Kenya. ^xUniversity of Winchester and President – Winchester, UK.

*This editorial is being published simultaneously in multiple journals

Correspondence data

Chris Żielinski – University of Winchester, Winchester, United Kingdom – Sparkford Rd, Winchester SO22 4NR. Email: chris@chriszielinski.com

Received on: Apr. 23, 2025 Accepted on: Apr. 23, 2025

How to cite this article: Abbasi K, Ali P, Barbour V, Birch M, Blum I, Doherty P, et al. Ending nuclear weapons, before they end us. Geriatr Gerontol Aging. 202519:eEDT0252. https://doi.org/10.53886/gga.eEDT0252_EN

Copyright: © 2025 Abbasi et al. This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



This May, the World Health Assembly (WHA) will vote on re-establishing a mandate for the World Health Organization (WHO) to address the health consequences of nuclear weapons and war.¹. Health professionals and their associations should urge their governments to support such a mandate and support the new UN comprehensive study on the effects of nuclear war.

The first atomic bomb exploded in the New Mexico desert 80 years ago, in July 1945. Three weeks later, two relatively small (by today's standards), tactical-size nuclear weapons unleashed a cataclysm of radioactive incineration on Hiroshima and Nagasaki. By the end of 1945, about 213,000 people were dead.² Tens of thousands more have died from late effects of the bombings.

Last December, Nihon Hidankyo, a movement that brings together atomic bomb survivors, was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for its "efforts to achieve a world free of nuclear weapons and for demonstrating through witness testimony that nuclear weapons must never be used again". For the Norwegian Nobel Committee, the award validated the most fundamental human right: the right to live. The Committee warned that the menace of nuclear weapons is now more urgent than ever before. In the words of Committee Chair Jørgen Watne Frydnes, "it is naive to believe our civilisation can survive a world order in which global security depends on nuclear weapons. The world is not meant to be a prison in which we await collective annihilation". He noted that our survival depended on keeping intact the "nuclear taboo" (which stigmatises the use of nuclear weapons as morally unacceptable).

The nuclear taboo gains strength from recognition of compelling evidence of the catastrophic humanitarian consequences of nuclear war, its severe global climatic and famine consequences, and the impossibility of any effective humanitarian response. This evidence contributed significantly to ending the Cold War nuclear arms race. ^{6,7}

While the numbers of nuclear weapons are down to 12,331 now, from their 1986 peak of 70,300,8 this is still equivalent to 146,605 Hiroshima bombs,9 and does not mean humanity is any safer.10 Even a fraction of the current arsenal could decimate the biosphere in a severe mass extinction event. The global climate disruption caused by the smoke pouring from cities ignited by just 2% of the current arsenal could result in over two billion people starving.11

A worldwide nuclear arms race is underway. Deployed nuclear weapons are increasing again, and China, India, North Korea, Pakistan, Russia

and UK are all enlarging their arsenals. An estimated 2,100 nuclear warheads in France, Russia, UK, US and, for the first time, also in China, are on high alert, ready for launch within minutes.⁸ With disarmament in reverse, extensive nuclear modernisations underway, multiple arms control treaties abrogated without replacement, no disarmament negotiations in evidence, nuclear-armed Russia and Israel engaged in active wars involving repeated nuclear threats, Russia and the US deploying nuclear weapons to additional states, and widespread use of cyberwarfare, the risk of nuclear war is widely assessed to be greater than ever. This year the Doomsday Clock was moved the closest to midnight since the Clock's founding in 1947.¹⁰

Led by Ireland and New Zealand, in late 2024, the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) voted overwhelmingly to establish a 21-member independent scientific panel to undertake a new comprehensive study on the effects of nuclear war, 12 with its final report due in 2027. Noting that "removing the threat of a nuclear war is the most acute and urgent task of the present day", the panel has been tasked with examining the physical effects and societal consequences of a nuclear war on a local, regional and planetary scale. It will examine the climatic, environmental and radiological effects of nuclear war, and their impact on public health, global socioeconomic systems, agriculture and ecosystems.

The resolution calls upon UN agencies, including WHO, to support the panel's work, including by "contributing expertise, commissioned studies, data and papers". All UN Member States are encouraged to provide relevant information, scientific data and analyses; facilitate and host panel meetings, including regional meetings; and make budgetary or in-kind contributions. Such an authoritative international assessment of evidence on the most acute existential threat to humankind and planetary health is long overdue. The last such report dates from 1989. It is shameful that France, UK and Russia opposed this resolution. ¹³

In 1983 and 1987,¹⁴ WHO convened an international committee of scientists and health experts to study the health effects of nuclear war. Its landmark, authoritative reports were influential and an excellent example of WHO fulfilling its constitutional mandate "to act as the directing and coordinating authority on international health work". In 1993, WHO produced an additional shorter report on the health and environmental effects of nuclear weapons, which included discussion of the production chain of nuclear weapons, including processing, testing and disposal.¹⁵

However, despite WHA having mandated WHO to report periodically on relevant developments, no further work was

undertaken and in 2020 WHO's mandate on nuclear weapons and health lapsed.

The Marshall Islands, Samoa and Vanuatu, supported by seven co-sponsoring states and International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW), are working to renew WHO's mandate. They are seeking wide support for a resolution on the health effects of nuclear weapons/war at this year's WHA in Geneva on 19–27 May. WHO would then re-establish a programme of work on this most critical threat to health, and be able to lead strongly in providing the best health evidence to the UN panel.

Health professionals are well aware how crucial accurate and up-to-date evidence is to making good decisions. We and our organisations should support such a renewed mandate by urging our national WHA delegates to vote in support and commit the modest funds needed to re-establish WHO's work programme, especially now, as the organisation faces severe financial strain with the US decision to withdraw its membership.

Our joint editorial in 2023¹⁶ on reducing the risks of nuclear war and the role of health professionals, published in over 150 health journals worldwide, urged three immediate steps by nuclear-armed states and their allies: adopt a "no first use" policy, take their nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert, and pledge unequivocally that they will not use nuclear weapons in any current conflicts they are involved in. We also urged nuclear-armed states to work for a definitive end to the nuclear threat by urgently starting negotiations for a verifiable, timebound agreement to eliminate their nuclear arsenals, and called on all nations to join the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons.¹⁷

It is an alarming failure of leadership that no progress has been made on these needed measures, nor on many other feasible steps away from the brink, acting on the obligation of all states to achieve nuclear disarmament. Nine states jeopardise all humanity and the biosphere by claiming an exclusive right to wield the most destructive and inhumane weapons ever created. The world desperately needs the leaders of these states to freeze their arsenals, end the modernisation and development of new, more dangerous nuclear weapons, and ensure that new technology such as artificial intelligence can never trigger the launch of nuclear weapons.

The UN scientific panel and a renewed mandate for WHO's work in this area can provide vital authoritative and up-to-date evidence for health and public education, evidence-based advocacy and policies, and the mobilised public concern needed to trigger decisive political leadership. This is a core health imperative for all of us.

DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author's contributions

Kamran Abbasi: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Parveen Ali: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Virginia Barbour: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing – review & editing, Marion Birch: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing, Inga Blum: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Peter Doherty: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. Andy Haines: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. Ira Helfand: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Richard Horton: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Kati Juva: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing – review & editing. Jose Florencio Lapeña Jr.: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. Robert Mash: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Olga Mironova: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Arun Mitra: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Carlos Monteiro: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Elena N. Naumova: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. David Onazi: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Tilman Ruff: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Peush Sahni: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. James Tumwine: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Carlos Umana Silesky: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. Paul Yonga: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. Chris Zielinski: conceptualization, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing.

Ethical approval and informed consent

Not applicable.

Data availability statement

Data will be made available on request.

Reporting standards guidelines

Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. Effects of nuclear weapons and war on health and health services. EB156/CONF/10, Executive Board, 5 Feb 2025. Available from: https://apps. who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB156/B156_CONF10-en.pdf. Accessed in Apr 3, 2025.
- Tomonaga M. The atomic bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki: a summary of the human consequences, 1945-2018, and lessons for *Homo sapiens* to end the nuclear weapon age. Journal for Peace and Nuclear Disarmament. 2019;2(2):491-517. https://doi.org/10.1080/25751654.2019.1681226
- NobelPrize.org, 2024. The Nobel Peace Prize 2024. Available from: https://www. nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/2024/summary/. Accessed in Feb 25, 2025.
- Award ceremony speech. Nobel Prize og. Nobel Prize Outreach 2025. Available from: https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/2024/ceremony-speech/ Accessed in Feb 25, 2025.
- Tannenwald N. The nuclear taboo: the United States and the normative basis of nuclear non-use. International Organization. 1999;53(3):433-68.
- Robock A, Xia L, Harrison CS, Coupe J, Toon OB, Bardeen C. Opinion: How fear of nuclear winter has helped save the world, so far. Atmos Chem Phys. 2023;23(12):6691-701. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-6691-2023
- Helfand I, Haines A, Ruff T, Kristensen H, Lewis P, Mian Z. The growing threat of nuclear war and the role of the health community. World Medical Journal. 2016;62(3):86-94.
- Kristensen H, Korda M, Johns E, Knight M, Kohn K. Status of world nuclear forces. Federation of American Scientists; 2025. Available from: https://fas.org/ initiative/status-world-nuclear-forces/. Accessed in Mar 18, 2025.
- Norwegian People's Aid. Nuclear weapons ban monitor 2024. Available from: https://banmonitor.org/. Accessed in Mar 25, 2025.

- Mecklin J. Closer than ever: It is now 89 seconds to midnight. 2025 Doomsday Clock Statement. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists; 2025. Available from: https://thebulletin.org/doomsday-clock/2025-statement/. Accessed in Mar 4, 2025.
- 11. Xia L, Robock A, Scherrer K, Harrison CS, Bodirsky BL, Weindl I, et al. Global food insecurity and famine from reduced crop, marine fishery and livestock production due to climate disruption from nuclear war soot injection. Nat Food. 2022;3:586-96. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-022-00573-0
- United Nations General Assembly. Nuclear war and scientific research. United Nations: General Assembly; 2024. Available from: https://reachingcriticalwill. org/images/documents/Disarmament-fora/1com/1com24/resolutions/L39-.pdf. Accessed in Mar 4, 2025.
- General Assembly: 55th Plenary meeting. Available from: https://reachingcriticalwill. org/images/documents/Disarmament-fora/1com/1com24/votes-ga/408DRXVII. pdf. Accessed in Mar 4, 2025.
- World Health Organization. Effects of nuclear war on health and health services, 2nd ed. Geneva: WHO; 1987.
- World Health Organization. Health and environmental effects of nuclear weapons. Geneva: WHO; 1993.
- Abbasi K, Ali P, Barbour V, Bibbins-Domingo K, Olde Rikkert MGM, Haines A, et al. Reducing the risks of nuclear war. BMJ. 2023;382:1682. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmj.p1682
- Full text of the treaty on the prohibition of nuclear weapons. Nobel Peace Prize;
 2017. Available from: https://www.icanw.org/tpnw_full_text. Accessed in Mar 9, 2025.