Infection by *Mycobacterium abscessus* infection after aesthetic surgical procedure

**INTRODUCTION**

*Mycobacterium abscessus* is a rapidly growing agent from the nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) complex\(^1\)\(^–\)\(^3\). It has a broad clinical spectrum, with the cutaneous form being the primary manifestation, characterized by nodules and microabscesses on the skin and in the subcutaneous tissue that form crusted ulcers\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\). Despite its low prevalence, cases are being increasingly reported. These cases occur in outbreaks associated with healthcare settings\(^3\). Therefore, its diagnosis...
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Can be challenging, requiring an association of clinical reasoning and targeted cultures to identify the specific etiology and, consequently, proposing the appropriate therapeutic approach and right treatment.

The present case report describes the clinical and radiological findings and the microbiological profile of an infection after a cosmetic surgical procedure. For several months, the hypothesis of nonspecific secondary bacterial infection without a defined agent was considered. Considering the infection's refractoriness to prolonged empirical antimicrobial treatment, imaging and culture tests helped to identify the growth of Mycobacterium abscessus in a deep-tissue sample, allowing specific antibiogram-guided treatment (susceptibility test).

CASE REPORT

A 45-year-old female patient had a history of left-sided radical mastectomy due to breast cancer in 2017, progressing without clinical or surgical complications. Since then, she has been asymptomatic and taking tamoxifen. There was no other relevant medical history. In 2021, the patient underwent breast reconstruction with bilateral implantation of prostheses, also with no complications. The following year she underwent abdominal liposuction and gluteal fat grafting for aesthetic purposes. Five days after this procedure, the patient developed pain and burning sensation in the buttocks, local hyperemia, and desquamation, which led to a diagnosis of a localized skin infection. She underwent prolonged cycles of empirical antibiotic therapy with ciprofloxacin and clindamycin for over 3 months, under the guidance of her assisting surgical team, followed by sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim for another month, all without success. During this period, she also experienced a weight loss of 12 kg. She was hospitalized after 6 months because of the progressive worsening of the condition.

On admission, multiple abscesses with purulent drainage were observed in the buttocks, thighs, abdomen, and breasts, as well as hyperemia of the abdominal wall. Ulcerated and purulent lesions and diffuse necrotic crusts were found on the abdomen, trunk, and posterior region of thighs, and the patient complained of breast pain. There were several ulcers 2–3 cm in diameter, some with areas of necrosis and hyperemic borders, others covered with crusts with desquamation and hyperemia around them. Many ulcers had yellowish purulent secretion, and diffuse hyperchomnic nodular lesions were also present in the abdomen and trunk, as well as edema in the abdominal wall and thighs (Figure 1).

Magnetic resonance imaging showed multiple scattered collections throughout the skin tissue from the trunk and pelvis to the thorax, with diffuse enhancement and areas of thickening in the deep fascia, suggesting cellulitis and necrotizing fasciitis. In the soft tissues of the thighs there were also multiple elongated cystic lesions with thin septa in between, extending through the subcutaneous plane to the gluteal regions, closely associated with myofascial planes (Figure 2).

A new broad-spectrum antimicrobial treatment was initiated, this time with glycopeptide antibiotics and carbapenem, to cover both Gram-positive cocci and Gram-negative bacilli from the hospital flora. However, the thoracic lesions progressed, causing incisional dehiscence of the breast implants inserted more than 6 months previously. Periprosthetic breast abscesses were identified by ultrasound, which required surgical drainage and removal of the implants, followed by the placement of a vacuum dressing in the respective pockets.
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The empirical broad-spectrum treatment was maintained for another 3 weeks considering negative cultures for aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and for fungi. However, in a specific culture for mycobacteria (in standard Löwenstein–Jensen solid medium and mycobacteria growth indicator tube broth with automated flowmetry), there was growth of *Mycobacterium abscessus* subspecies *abscessus* in a single intraoperative sample collected aseptically from what had been considered a closed lesion in a sterile site. Acid-fast bacilli and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* polymerase chain reaction (PCR; GenXpert MtbRIF®) were negative in the same sample. The sensitivity profile of *M. abscessus* is described in Table 1.

Thus, specific treatment was initiated with amikacin 1 g/day intramuscularly (IM) thrice a week, tigecycline 100 mg/day intravenously (IV), ertapenem 1 g/day (IV), clarithromycin 500 mg orally (PO) every 12 h, and clofazimine 100 mg/day (PO) as a loading dose for 1 month. This was followed by amikacin 1 g/day (IM) thrice a week, clarithromycin 500 mg (PO) every 12 h, and clofazimine 100 mg/day (PO) once a day as a maintenance dose, expected to last 18 months. To date, 7 months of maintenance treatment have been completed, with follow-up at a referral service. Despite the psychological and aesthetic damage, there was a reduction in the number of skin lesions and an improvement in their appearance (the images in Figure 3 were documented after 4 months of treatment), with a reduction in pain and edema. The patient remains afebrile and is under gradual nutritional recovery.

**DISCUSSION**

This report reveals the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges of refractory postoperative infections, reinforcing the possibility of a less common etiology: fast-growing nontuberculous mycobacteria 1. In this particular case, the symptoms persisted and worsened over 6 months until the diagnosis was confirmed, which illustrates the importance of directed cultures to allow the identification of the specific etiologic agent and its associated antimicrobial susceptibility profile to determine the appropriate treatment.

The manifestations caused by *M. abscessus* are characterized by cutaneous and subcutaneous nodules and microabscesses, with a tendency of fistulization of purulent material. They may progress with necrotic or ulcer-crust ed forms with a sporotrichoid aspect². These lesions require a differential diagnosis with several other etiologies, including other species of mycobacteria.
**Table 1. Susceptibility profile of *Mycobacterium abscessus* subspecies abscessus isolated from a periprosthetic deep abscess.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>MIC (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>32 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedaquiline</td>
<td>0.06 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>16 (S)</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>16 (R)</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>32 (R) **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clofazimine</td>
<td>0.5 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>32 (R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>8 (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>8 (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moxifloxacin</td>
<td>8 (R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfamethoxazole / Trimethoprim</td>
<td>304 / 16 (R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigecycline</td>
<td>2 (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: I = Intermediate; S = Sensitive; R = Resistant.
* There are no interpretative criteria defined by the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).
** The MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) for clarithromycin at 72 h was <1 µg/mL but inductive resistance was expressed after a 2-week incubation period. Thus, monotherapy with this drug is not recommended.
*** There are no interpretative criteria defined by EUCAST.

**Figure 3. Clinical examination after 4 months of treatment.**
(A and B) Scarring lesions on the abdomen, back, and thigh (red arrows). (C and D) Abdomen with active lesions, crusted ulcers (yellow arrows), and necrotic ulcers (blue arrow), with hyperemic borders and abdominal wall edema.

from a sterile site with a closed cavity are recommended. The isolation of a rapidly growing mycobacterium from a single sample has its diagnostic value dependent on the clinical context. It is suggestive of active disease when isolated from a sterile sample and when the species is known to be pathogenic. Histopathological examination can also aid in the diagnostic process by characterizing a granulomatous infectious process. Techniques such as immunohistochemistry and/or specific DNA testing (genomic amplification via PCR) can further contribute to the optimization of the results.

Several outbreaks of mycobacterial infections have been reported after cosmetic surgical procedures and surgical manipulations, including liposuctions, breast augmentations, and larger surgeries like laparoscopic procedures. These infections are usually attributed to inadequate cleaning of surgical materials with contaminated water, which is one of the primary risk factors. These mycobacteria are present in soil and water sources, and their hydrophobic lipid cell wall promotes the formation of biofilms in solid materials such as water pipes, catheters, and prosthetic implants.

The initial clinical presentation often leads to a diagnostic hypothesis of a healthcare-associated infection, probably of nonspecific bacterial and nosocomial etiology. In the present case, the infection appeared in the early postoperative period of the liposuction and gluteal fat graft procedure. However, this reasoning can be questioned due to the history of bilateral mammoplasty (and consequent presence of implants). Even if it was performed a few months earlier, the clinical manifestations of infections caused by *M. abscessus* may appear up to 2 years after the surgical procedure, without a clear definition of the incubation period. Thus, while it is the most likely scenario, it cannot be conclusively inferred that the infection was solely a result of the patient’s most recent procedure.

There are recent reports of emerging fast-growing mycobacterial infections in several countries. In Brazil,
there were outbreaks in Bahia, Pará, and Rio Grande do Sul, where *M. abscessus*, along with *M. chelonae* and *M. fortuitum* were identified among the most prevalent agents. This infection is more prevalent in females due to more frequent aesthetic surgical procedures. Breast implants, liposuction, injection of subcutaneous enzymes, and other procedures such as arthroplasty and abdominoplasty are the procedures with highest-risk. In such cases, it is necessary to consider the possibility of mycobacterial infections to avoid delaying the diagnosis and worsening of the condition, as occurred with this patient. Similar case reports have also characterized the infection as consisting of nodular lesions and cutaneous and subcutaneous microabscesses, sometimes associated with pulmonary forms with diffuse disease. Other sites of involvement and underlying immunosuppression should also be investigated.

The most effective antimicrobials for the specific treatment of these mycobacteria are macrolides and aminoglycosides. Current recommendations for severe cases involving fascia and muscle suggest a 1–3-month loading regimen with amikacin or tigecycline and imipenem or ertapenem, associated with clarithromycin and clofazimine. Maintenance treatment with amikacin and clarithromycin is necessary for another 12–18 months, and these drugs may also be associated with clofazimine and moxifloxacin. The treatment of NTM infection is prolonged and justified by the fact that NTMs are intracellular agents and have a sensitivity profile that often presents multiple resistances to several classes of antimicrobials, as was the case of the *M. abscessus* strain isolated in the present report, among others resistant to macrolides. This hinders therapeutic success, and there is a lack of standardization of the cutoff points for antimicrobial susceptibility as described by the BRCAST (Brazilian Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing) and the EUCAST (European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing).

**CONCLUSION**

The reported case shows that NTM infection should be considered among the possible diagnoses in cases of refractory postoperative infections. In the context of healthcare-related infections, it is important to alert surveillance agencies and report suspected cases promptly. In terms of diagnosis, it is necessary to collect several samples and culture them in specific media. Regarding treatment, the microbial resistance profile of the isolated agent often presents multiple resistances, even limiting the use of macrolides. Therefore, it is imperative to associate several antibiotics for a long time. Finally, morbidity with aesthetic (and psychological) sequelae certainly cannot be underestimated.
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