Orthodontic-Prosthetic Rehabilitation of Congenital Alveolar Cleft: A case report from infancy to adulthood

Abstract:

Introduction: Cleft lip palate (CLP) treatment is challenging and requires multidisciplinary treatment during patient’s whole life. Aim: To present a case report of a life-time CLP rehabilitation that anatomic features required advanced rehabilitation modalities. Design: A 9-year-old patient was diagnosed as unilateral right CLP. Agenesia and poor prognosis of lateral and central right incisor respectively added complexity to multidisciplinary treatment planning. Results: Orthodontic and surgical procedures performed during infancy and adolescence resulted on satisfactory dental alignment. Anatomical limitations required installation of a hybrid dentogingival implant-supported prosthesis at adulthood. After 3 years of follow-up, implants were osseointegrated and peri-implant tissues were stable, with favorable esthetic and functional outcomes. Conclusions: This challenging case of cleft lip palate, on which tooth with poor prognosis and agenesia were identified, required a multidisciplinary treatment during infancy and adolescence in order to provide adequate conditions for implant placement at adulthood. Implant therapy in complex cases of CLP patients requires advanced treatment modalities, that may include guided bone regeneration, periodontal reconstruction and dentogingival prosthesis.
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BACKGROUND

Cleft lip and/or palate are the most common congenital malformation and its incidence is estimated in 1 cleft child for 560 live births. Labiomaxillary clefts results from the deficiency or the lack of fusion among maxillary and nasal process during the 36/37th day of intrauterine life (IUL). Genetic and environmental factors are involved in its etiology. Specifically, cleft lip and palate in its unilateral form involves upper lip, maxillary and alveolar bone (at the region among lateral incisor and canine), hard and soft palate, which creates oro-nasal communication.

Individuals with CLP suffers from problems with feeding, speaking, hearing and social integration. Multi-disciplinary treatment is required: it is initiated by the pediatrician in the immediate neonatal period and goes along the whole life of the patients, encompassing maxillofacial surgery, orthodontics, speech therapy and psychotherapy, among others. It is desired that early diagnosis and interventions can offer CLP patients natural teeth maintenance and proper occlusal conditions. However, in more severe cases, anatomic aspects can difficult this ideal situation and, when a cleft area is stricken by tooth loss, implant-support rehabilitation may be required.

A recent systematic review has evaluated 483 implants installed at grafted areas from CLP patients, with a survival rate of 93% after a mean follow-up period of 60.5 months. Despite the high survival rates, there are substantial difficulties associated to the implant therapy in CLP patients, that can be listed as follows: interest area has generally been treated at least twice before implant placement implying in tissue scars; implants are usually inserted at augmented bone; necessity of additional bony augmentation during implant placement; involvement of esthetic zone.

Considering this, it is important to highlight the importance of multidisciplinary treatment on the CL/P life-time oral rehabilitation.

CASE REPORT

Diagnosis

Patient seek for dental treatment, in 1999, when she was 9 years old. Clinic examination enabled the diagnosis of unilateral right cleft lip palate and maxillary atresia. Her medical historic included surgery of cheiloplasty by the age of 4 months old and palatoplasty at 18 months old. Radiographic examination exposed agenesia of the following tooth: second right and left premolars, as well as of right lateral incisor (Fig. 1 A,B). Right central incisor presented a narrow dilacerated root with accentuated gyroversion, affected by bone support deficiency and, therefore, it was settled as a tooth with poor prognosis (Fig. 1 C). Diagnosis of facial asymmetry, anterior crossbite, overjet of -3 and overbite of -10% was settled. She was classified as Angle class I first molar relationship and Facial Class III pattern. No additional medical conditions were reported.

Treatment objectives

Main treatment objectives were improvement of patient well-being. This comprised good oral and systemic health, as well as proper social integration. Therefore, special focus was directed for obtainment of excellent speech and nutrition function, accompanied by achievement of facial symmetry (ideal overjet, overbite and proper intercuspation) and improvement of smile harmony.

Treatment alternatives

Alternative treatment modalities would be encouraged if maxillary expansion and forward traction were not effective. This could occur in case of bad cooperation or excessive mandibular growth surpassing maxillary advancement. In this situation, maxillary surgical expansion and Le Fort I advancement could be performed. In which concerns prosthetic rehabilitation, at anterior maxillary teeth absence area, in case of bone graft failure, which would not allow implant osseointegration, an alternative could be a fixed or bonded prosthesis to surrounding teeth.

Treatment progress

Patient treatment is summarized at Graphical Abstract.
Primary treatment phase

Treatment planning encompassed maxillary expansion (Fig. 1 D, Fig. 2 A, B) that was performed by the age 9 until 11 years old. Reverse traction that was performed by the end of this period, employing the expander as anchor. Secondary alveolar grafting (donor region: iliac bone) was performed by the time that the canine adjacent to the cleft region had its rhizogenesis between half and three quarters completed, as recommended by Bergland et al. (1986)\textsuperscript{10}. This occurred when the patient was 12 years old. 120 days after surgery, fixed appliance was introduced for aligning, levelling and obtaining correct occlusion (Fig. 2 C, D). Moreover, the space referent to the right second molar was planned to be closed through mesial movement of right superior molars. The maxillary right central incisor was extruded till extraction to create bone for future implant substitution (Fig. 2 C, D). Therefore, adequate space to install a dental implant at the lateral incisor position and central incisor was obtained. Through the fixed appliance treatment phase, Class III elastics were used. Patient used the fixed applied until she was 22 years old.

Orthodontic treatment provided ideal overjet, overbite and proper intercuspation. Excellent speech function was achieved. A hint of hypernasality was maintained.

Secondary treatment phase

Patient was referred for dental implant placement and prosthetic rehabilitation of right central and lateral incisors when she was 26 years old (Fig. 3 A, B). Cone beam computerized tomography (CBCT) revealed bone atrophy, indicating that bone grafting was necessary (Fig. 3 C, D). Two dental implants (Morse taper, 3.3 x 8 mm and 3.3 x 11 mm, FGM, Joinville, Santa Catarina, Brazil) were installed concomitantly to a graft of stick bone, that is, extracellular matrix from platelet rich fibrin (PRF) mixed to xenogeneic particulate bone (Criteria, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil) (Fig. 4 A, B). Membranes of PRF were used to cover the graft (Fig. 4 C). Initial torque of central and lateral incisors were 60N and 10N respectively. A CBCT (Fig. 4 D) and a periapical radiography were performed immediately after surgery. Implants were submerged for a period of 6 months. When the re-entry procedure was performed concomitantly to connective tissue grafting (Fig. 5 A, B), PEEK healing abutments (FGM) were installed (Fig. 5 B). Local anesthesia and pre and post operatory medication were administered properly. After 3 months of the re-entry procedure, trunnion abutments (FGM) were installed.
Figure 4. Trans and immediate post-surgical images: A) Check of implant adequate position; B) Bone graft positioned at buccal site; C) PRF membranes positioned at buccal region; D) CBCT transversal section.

Figure 5. A) 6 months post-surgery occlusal view; B) PEEK healing abutment after re-entry surgery accompanied by connective tissue graft; C) Angulation referrers for abutment installment; D) Trunnion abutments installed.

Figure 6. Images of end of adult treatment period: A) Intra-oral frontal view; B) Extra-oral frontal view; C) CBCT transversal section; D) Extra-oral right lateral view.

and activated (Fig.5 C,D). Impression was performed with addition silicone (Express XT kit, 3M, Maplewood, Minnesota, United States). A provisional implant supported prosthesis was manufactured. An hybrid linked tooth-gingiva cemented ceramic prosthesis was delivered (Fig.6 A,B,D) and another CBCT was performed (Fig.6 C). Follow-up appointments were performed regularly after prosthetic delivery.

Treatment results

Patient was satisfied with esthetic and function and was well social-integrated. CLP primary and secondary closure accompanied by speech therapy and orthodontic treatment of facial deficiencies were efficient. However, considering the lateral agenesis and the poor prognosis of the central incisor, implant installation was required. Orthodontic treatment provided adequate conditions for implant-supported rehabilitation. However, despite the fact that guided bone regeneration was performed concomitantly to implant installation, the vertical bone defect required the manufacture of a dentogingival prosthesis. After 3 years of follow-up, implants were well osseointegrated and crestal bone, as well as buccal grafted bone levels were maintained. Soft tissue stability was reached and oral health was preserved. Patient’s low smile line was crucial for the favorable esthetic results obtained through dentogingival prosthesis.

DISCUSSION

When dealing with oral rehabilitation of CLP patients, the high level of complexity must be treated by the multidisciplinary team through careful planning, precise techniques and close monitoring. Ideally, natural tooth should be preserved and dental implants should not be necessary for CLP treatment. However, when challenging anatomical conditions are found, such as tooth agenesis or compromised tooth, the orthodontic treatment planning that starts at childhood must create adequate conditions for future implant placement at adulthood. At the present case, orthodontic treatment provided favorable overjet, overbite, as well as adequate posterior occlusion. A very satisfactory profile and smile line associated to high standards of oral health were also obtained. Adequate space was created for implant-supported rehabilitation of anterior maxillary region.

In which concerns bone grafts, this patient went through a conventional iliac graft for secondary closure at the Primary Treatment Phase. This procedure is very important because it enables permanent teeth eruption, dental maxillary dental arch stabilization, nasal fistulae closure, orthodontic treatment and, when required, implant placement. PRF, which was used concomitantly to
implant installation mixed to xenogeneic particulate bone at the present study at the Secondary Treatment Phase, is a suitable candidate to substitute and/or to be combined with more conventional bone grafts in maxillofacial surgery. PRF posses biologically active compounds that enhance tissue repair mechanisms of chemotaxis, cell proliferation, angiogenesis, osteogenesis and remodeling. A study from Al-Ahmady et al. (2018) has examined the use of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells, combined with PRF and nanohydroxyapatite for alveolar cleft repair, compared to the standard technique of iliac crest bone, through a 12 months follow-up, via clinical and radiographic assessments. The modernizer group has exhibited less donor site complications, improved soft tissue healing, less postoperative pain, associated to better rates of alveolar bone union.

At the moment of implant placement and re-entry surgery guided bone regeneration and connective tissue were respectively performed in order to achieve more favorable results. Interestingly, a successful 10-year follow-up case report from Hengjeerajaras et al. (2019) of CLP patient who had undergone through lip, palate and orthognatic surgery accompanied by orthodontic treatment had also employed guided bone regeneration to achieve adequate outcomes. The technique for anterior tooth replacement with an implant-supported rehabilitation employed bone decortications, employment of collagen membrane and xenogenous bone, followed by 6 months of healing before implant placement. After prosthetic load, connective tissue graft was employed, which resulted in a healthy esthetic and osseointegrated implant rehabilitation.

The stick bone employed at the secondary treatment phase provided adequate horizontal bone gain for implant placement. However, the bone height defect was not compensated because it requires advanced treatment guided bone regeneration procedures, increasing complications rates. Therefore, the dentogingival prosthesis was unavoidable. A study has evaluated aesthetic aspects of 39 unilateral CLP patients that went through secondary alveolar cleft closure and received single implants placed at lateral incisors after orthodontic treatment. Among the most common aesthetic complications, elongated teeth and absence of papillae were reported. In the present case, the dentogingival prosthesis was capable of avoiding these common issues and, associated to patient’s low smile, adequateesthetic results were achieved.

CONCLUSIONS

The present case report exhibited an exception protocol of cleft lip palate treatment, on which severe anatomic conditions required the creation of favorable conditions during infancy and adolescence through orthodontic treatment for dental implant rehabilitation at adulthood. In order to achieve proper functional and esthetic outcomes the following steps were required: immediate neonatal caring; primary treatment (interceptive orthodontic treatment, speech therapy and secondary alveolar closure through iliac graft surgery at adolescence); secondary treatment (guided bone regeneration, dental implants, connective tissue graft and dentogingival prosthesis).

REFERENCES


